PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

Translation

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 664677				FOR FURTHER ACTION		See Form PCT/IPEA/416				
International application No.				International filing date (day/month/year)		Priority date (day/month/year)				
PCT/JP2004/011333				06.08.200		08.08.2003				
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC A61K31/4545, 9/14, 47/10, 47/26, 47/36, 47/38, A61P37/08										
Applicant SCHERING CORPORATION										
1.	 This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36. 									
2.	This REF	PORT consist	s of a total of	6	sheets, includi	ng this cover sheet.				
3.	This repo	ort is also acc	ompanied by Al	NNEXES, comprising:						
	а. 🔲	(sent to the	e applicant and	to the International Bu	reau) a total of	sheets, as follows:				
	sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).									
	sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.									
	b		e International l	Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and numb	per of electronic carrier(s))				
						, containing a sequence listing and/or tables				
	related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).									
4.	This repo	ort contains in	dications relati	ng to the following item	s:					
		Box No. I	Basis of the	report						
		Box No. II	Priority							
		Box No. III	Non-establi	shment of opinion with	regard to novelty, inver	ntive step and industrial applicability				
		Box No. IV	Lack of unit	ty of invention						
	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement									
	Box No. VI Certain docum			uments cited	ments cited					
Box No. VII Certain defects in th				ects in the international	in the international application					
	Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application									
Date of	submission	n of the dema	nd		Date of completion of t	his report				
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/JP					Authorized officer					
Facsimile No.					T. 1 . N					
racsimil	ie No.				Telephone No.					

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.

PCT/JP2004/011333

Box	No. I	I Basis of the report						
1.		h regard to the language, this report is based on the internation cated under this item.	nal application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherw	vise				
		This report is based on translations from the original langua which is the language of a translation furnished for the purp international search (Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)) publication of the international application (Rule 12.4 international preliminary examination (Rule 55.2 and/	oses of:					
2.	rece	regard to the elements of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have been furnished to the reing Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to export): the international application as originally filed/furnished the description:						
			as originally filed/furn					
			received by this Authority on					
	П	the claims:	·					
		nos.	as originally filed/furn	nished				
		nos.*	as amended (together with any statement) under Arti	cle 19				
		nos.*	received by this Authority on					
		nos.*	received by this Authority on					
		the drawings: sheets	as originally filed/fur	nished				
		sheets*	received by this Authority on					
		sheets*	received by this Authority on					
		a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) – see Supplement	ental Box Relating to Sequence Listing.					
3.		The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:						
		the description, pages						
		the claims, nos.						
		the drawings, sheets/figs						
		the sequence listing (specify):						
		any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):						
4.		This report has been established as if (some of) the amend they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as file.	ments annexed to this report and listed below had not been maded, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).	e, since				
		the description, pages						
		the claims, nos.						
		the drawings, sheets/figs						
		the sequence listing (specify):						
		any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):						
*	If ite	em 4 applies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "supe	erseded."					

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/011333

Box	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
1.	Statement					
	Novelty (N)	Claims	1-18	YES		
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims	5	VEC		
	• • •	Claims _	5 1-4, 6-18	— NO		
	Industrial and inclination (IA)					
	Industrial applicability (IA)		1-18			
		Claims _		_ NO		
2.	Citations and explanations (Rule	70.7)				
	Documents cited	l in th	e international search report:			
	Document 1: JP	57-035	586 A, entire text			
	Document 2: JP	9-2084	95 A, claims 1 and 7; paragraphs			
	0]	010] ar	nd [0011]			
	Document 3: Dai	. Jusan	Kai Nippon Yakkyokukata			
	Ka	isetsus	sho, 1996, Kabushiki Kaisha Hirokawa			
	Sh	oten, A	A107, lines 24 to 30			
	Document 4: JP	6-1573	12 A, paragraphs [0002], [0006] and			
	0]	009]				
	Document 5: JP	11-029	463 A, claims 1, 4 and 5, paragraph			
		001]				
	Newly cited doc	ument:				
	_		6607 Al (Nichiiko Pharmaceutical			
			.), 18 October 2001, entire text			
		·, nca.	.,, 10 October 2001, cheffe text			
	Claims 1 to 4 a	nd 8 +	0.18			
			t forth in claims 1 to 4 and 8 to 18			
			nventive step in the light of			
l	documents 1 to 5.					

Dry syrup is a dosage form which would be well known to a person skilled in the art, therefore it would be easy for a person skilled in the art to conceive of

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

attempting to obtain a dry syrup preparation for loratadine, which is a known drug, as set forth in document 1.

In addition, as indicated in documents 2 to 4, hydroxypropyl cellulose, other cellulose derivatives and saccharides such as sucrose are known as additives to dry syrup, therefore the use of these additives when obtaining a dry syrup for loratadine is a matter which a person skilled in the art could accomplish as necessary.

Moreover, document 5 indicates that by using hydroxypropyl cellulose it is possible to obtain an aqueous suspended solution with good redispersibility for a substance with poor solubility. It would therefore be easy for a person skilled in the art to predict the effect disclosed in this application, i.e. good dispersibility.

Claim 5

The invention set forth in claim 5 is novel and involves an inventive step.

According to the embodiment disclosed in the response to the written opinion submitted by the applicant, it is understood that when hydroxypropyl cellulose HPC-SSL which satisfies the requirements set forth in claim 5 is used, better dispersibility and antifoaming properties are obtained than for hydroxypropyl cellulose HPC-SL, whose viscosity falls outside the prescribed range. Moreover, none of the documents cited in the international search report or the newly cited document discloses or suggests this feature.

PCT/JP2004/011333

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Claims 6 and 7

The invention set forth in claims 6 and 7 does not involve an inventive step in the light of documents 1 to 4.

As set forth in documents 2 and 4, an alginate is known as an additive to dry syrup, therefore using these additives when obtaining a dry syrup containing loratedine is a matter which a person skilled in the art could accomplish as necessary.

In addition, in reference to the description of this application, there is not even one embodiment which does not contain hydroxypropyl cellulose but contains only an alginate, therefore it is impossible to acknowledge that such an embodiment has a special effect in terms of dispersibility.

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

Claims 1 and 8-16

Claim 1 relates to a dry syrup comprising as an active ingredient a compound defined by the desired property of "binder that upon addition of water at use, provides a uniform dispersion". It appears from the description, paragraph [0012], that the "binder that upon addition of water at use, provides a uniform dispersion" refers to all of such binder compounds that any dry syrup having the same added thereto satisfies the requirements (i) to (v) recited in claim 12.

However, only examples wherein hydroxypropyl cellulose was added are disclosed in the description of this application. Thus, it appears that only some of the claimed compounds are disclosed within the meaning of PCT Article 5, so that the support by disclosure in the description within the meaning of PCT Article 6 is lacking.

Further, with respect to the "binder that upon addition of water at use, provides a uniform dispersion", as apparent from the above, whether or not compounds are the relevant binder compounds cannot be judged unless the final compositions are obtained. The scope of compounds with this property cannot be specified even if technical common knowledge at the time of filing of this application is taken into account. Therefore, claim 1 also fails to satisfy the requirement of clarity prescribed in PCT Article 6.

The same applies to claims 8-16.